# Solutions for Mid-Term 2 

ECE490 Introduction to Optimization
Fall 2018

## 1 Problem 1

1. (15 points) Apply Newton's method (in the pure form) to the minimization of the function $f(x)=x^{3}$. Write out the iteration formula and show that Newton's method achieves a linear convergence rate in this case.
2. (10 points) Suppose we apply the BFGS method $x_{k+1}=x_{k}-\alpha_{k} H_{k}^{-1} \nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)$ to minimize

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{2} x^{\top} Q x
$$

where $Q=\left[\begin{array}{ll}5 & 1 \\ 1 & 2\end{array}\right]$. For simplicity, we start with $x_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1\end{array}\right], \alpha_{0}=0.1$, and $H_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$. What is $H_{1}^{-1}$ ?

## Solution:

1. Newton's method iterates as

$$
x_{k+1}=x_{k}-\left(\nabla^{2} f\left(x_{k}\right)\right)^{-1} \nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)
$$

Since $\nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)=3 x_{k}^{2}$ and $\nabla^{2} f\left(x_{k}\right)=6 x_{k}$, Newton's method actually iterates as

$$
x_{k+1}=x_{k}-\left(\frac{1}{6 x_{k}}\right) 3 x_{k}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} x_{k}
$$

Therefore, Newton's method converges to the stationary point $x^{*}=0$ at a linear rate $\rho=\frac{1}{2}$.
2. We need to calculate $s_{0}=x_{1}-x_{0}$ and $y_{0}=\nabla f\left(x_{1}\right)-\nabla f\left(x_{0}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla f\left(x_{0}\right)=Q x_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
5 & 1 \\
1 & 2
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
6 \\
3
\end{array}\right] \\
x_{1}=x_{0}-0.1\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
6 \\
3
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.4 \\
0.7
\end{array}\right] \\
\nabla f\left(x_{1}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
5 & 1 \\
1 & 2
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.4 \\
0.7
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
2.7 \\
1.8
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{0}=\nabla f\left(x_{1}\right)-\nabla f\left(x_{0}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{l}
-3.3 \\
-1.2
\end{array}\right] \\
s_{0}=x_{1}-x_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
-0.6 \\
-0.3
\end{array}\right] \\
H_{1}^{-1}=\left(I-\frac{s_{0} y_{0}^{\top}}{y_{0}^{\top} s_{0}}\right) H_{0}^{-1}\left(I-\frac{y_{0} s_{0}^{\top}}{y_{0}^{\top} s_{0}}\right)+\frac{s_{0} s_{0}^{\top}}{y_{0}^{\top} s_{0}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.272 & -0.249 \\
-0.249 & 0.933
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

## 2 Problem 2

Consider the unconstrained minimization problem

$$
\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{p}}\left\{f(x)+\mu\|x\|_{1}\right\}
$$

where $f$ is $L$-smooth and $m$-strongly convex. Suppose $x^{*}$ is a point satisfying $-\nabla f\left(x^{*}\right) \in$ $\partial \mu\left\|x^{*}\right\|_{1}$. Apply the proximal gradient method with a constant stepsize $\alpha$.

1. (5 points) Please write down the proximal gradient method for the above problem.
2. (5 points) How to perform the proximal step for the above problem? (Hint: Shrinkage.)
3. (15 points) Since $f$ is $m$-strongly convex and $L$-smooth, the following inequality holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
x-x^{*} \\
\nabla f(x)-\nabla f\left(x^{*}\right)
\end{array}\right]^{\top}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 m L I & -(m+L) I \\
-(m+L) I & 2 I
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x-x^{*} \\
\nabla f(x)-\nabla f\left(x^{*}\right)
\end{array}\right] \leq 0
$$

Use the above inequality to show the proximal gradient method with stepsize $\alpha=\frac{1}{L}$ satisfies

$$
\left\|x_{k}-x^{*}\right\| \leq\left(1-\frac{m}{L}\right)^{k}\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|
$$

## Solution:

1. The proximal gradient method updates as $x_{k+1}=\operatorname{prox}_{g, \alpha}\left(x_{k}-\alpha \nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)\right)$ where $g(x)=$ $\mu\|x\|_{1}$.
2. Denote $h_{k}=x_{k}-\alpha \nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)$. Suppose the $j$-th entry of $h_{k}$ is $h_{k}^{J}$. Then the $j$-th entry of $x_{k+1}$ is updated using the shrinkage operator as follows

$$
x_{k+1}^{j}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
h_{k}^{j}-\mu \alpha & \text { if } h_{k}^{j} \geq \mu \alpha \\
0 & \text { if }-\mu \alpha<h_{k}^{j}<\mu \alpha \\
h_{k}^{j}+\mu \alpha & \text { if } h_{k}^{j} \leq-\mu \alpha
\end{array}\right.
$$

3. We can rewrite the proximal gradient method as $x_{k+1}=x_{k}-\alpha u_{k}-\alpha r_{k}$ where $u_{k}=$ $\nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)$ and $r_{k} \in \partial g\left(x_{k+1}\right)$. Since $f$ is $m$-strongly convex and $L$-smooth, we have

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{k}-x^{*} \\
\nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)-\nabla f\left(x^{*}\right) \\
r_{k}-r^{*}
\end{array}\right]^{\top}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 m L I & -(L+m) I & 0 \\
-(L+m) I & 2 I & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{k}-x^{*} \\
\nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)-\nabla f\left(x^{*}\right) \\
r_{k}-r^{*}
\end{array}\right] \leq 0
$$

Since $g$ is convex, we directly have $\left(r_{k}-r^{*}\right)^{\top}\left(x_{k+1}-x^{*}\right) \geq 0$. This can be rewritten as a quadratic inequality:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{k}-x^{*} \\
\nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)-\nabla f\left(x^{*}\right) \\
r_{k}-r^{*}
\end{array}\right]^{\top}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & -I \\
0 & 0 & \alpha I \\
-I & \alpha I & 2 \alpha I
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{k}-x^{*} \\
\nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)-\nabla f\left(x^{*}\right) \\
r_{k}-r^{*}
\end{array}\right] \leq 0
$$

Therefore, we have $\left\|x_{k+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq \rho^{2}\left\|x_{k}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}$ if there exists non-negative $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ such that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1-\rho^{2} & -\alpha & -\alpha \\
-\alpha & \alpha^{2} & \alpha^{2} \\
-\alpha & \alpha^{2} & \alpha^{2}
\end{array}\right] \leq \lambda_{1}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 m L & -(L+m) & 0 \\
-(m+L) & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]+\lambda_{2}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha \\
-1 & \alpha & 2 \alpha
\end{array}\right]
$$

For $\alpha=\frac{1}{L}$, we can choose $\lambda_{1}=\frac{1}{L^{2}}$ and $\lambda_{2}=\frac{1}{L}$ to satisfy the above inequality and show the desired convergence rate.

## 3 Problems 3

1. (10 points) Consider the constrained minimization problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{minimize} & x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4} \\
\text { subject to } & x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Determine all the local mins for the above problem.
2. (15 points) Consider minimizing the function $f(x)=\frac{\mu}{2}\|x\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|A x-b\|^{2}$ where $A \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{n \times p}, b \in \mathbb{R}^{p}, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$. This is the so-called ridge regression problem where $x$ is the decision variable. This problem can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{minimize} & \frac{\mu}{2}\|x\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|y-b\|^{2} \\
\text { subject to } & A x=y
\end{aligned}
$$

Write out the Lagrangian $L(x, y, \lambda)$ for the above problem and calculate the related dual function $D(\lambda)=\min _{x, y} L(x, y, \lambda)$.

## Solution:

1. First, we write out the Lagrangian as

$$
L(x, \lambda)=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}+\lambda\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}-1\right)
$$

Applying $\nabla_{x} L\left(x^{*}, \lambda^{*}\right)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1+2 \lambda^{*} x_{1}^{*}=0, \\
& 1+2 \lambda^{*} x_{2}^{*}=0, \\
& 1+2 \lambda^{*} x_{3}^{*}=0, \\
& 1+2 \lambda^{*} x_{4}^{*}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have $\left(x_{1}^{*}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2}^{*}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{3}^{*}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{4}^{*}\right)^{2}=1$. There are two possible solutions: (i) $x_{1}^{*}=x_{2}^{*}=x_{3}^{*}=x_{4}^{*}=\frac{1}{2}, \lambda^{*}=-1$; (ii) $x_{1}^{*}=x_{2}^{*}=x_{3}^{*}=x_{4}^{*}=-\frac{1}{2}, \lambda^{*}=1$. Notice $\nabla_{x x}^{2} L\left(x^{*}, \lambda^{*}\right)=2 \lambda^{*} I$ which is negative definite for $\lambda^{*}=-1$ and positive definite for $\lambda^{*}=1$. So the only local min is $x_{1}^{*}=x_{2}^{*}=x_{3}^{*}=x_{4}^{*}=-\frac{1}{2}$.
2. First, we write out the Lagrangian as

$$
L(x, y, \lambda)=\frac{\mu}{2}\|x\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|y-b\|^{2}+\lambda^{\top}(A x-y)
$$

Minimizing $L$ over $x$ and $y$ with fixed $\lambda$ is a positive definite quadratic minimization problem. We can just set the derivatives to 0 and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{x} L\left(x^{*}, y^{*}, \lambda\right)=0 \rightarrow \mu x^{*}+A^{\top} \lambda=0 \rightarrow x^{*}=-\frac{1}{\mu} A^{\top} \lambda \\
& \nabla_{y} L\left(x^{*}, y^{*}, \lambda\right)=0 \rightarrow y^{*}=b+\lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the dual function can be calculated as

$$
D(\lambda)=\frac{\mu \lambda^{\top} A A^{\top} \lambda}{2 \mu^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{\top} \lambda+\lambda^{\top}\left(\frac{-A A^{\top} \lambda}{\mu}-b-\lambda\right)=-\frac{1}{2 \mu} \lambda^{\top} A A^{\top} \lambda-\frac{1}{2}\|\lambda\|^{2}-\lambda^{\top} b
$$

## 4 Problems 4

1. (10 points) What is ADMM? Write down the ADMM update rule for the following problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{minimize} & f(x)+g(y) \\
\text { subject to } & A x+B y=c
\end{aligned}
$$

2. (15 points) Consider the problem $\min _{x}\left\{\mu\|x\|_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2}\left(a_{i}^{\top} x-b_{i}\right)^{2}\right\}$ where $a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$, $b_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$. This problem can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{minimize} & \mu\|y\|_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\left(x^{i}\right) \\
\text { subject to } & x^{i}-y=0, \forall i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f_{i}\left(x^{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{i}^{\top} x^{i}-b_{i}\right)^{2}$, and $x^{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$ is a vector having the same dimension as $a_{i}$. The augmented Lagrangian is given by

$$
L_{\rho}=\mu\|y\|_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{f_{i}\left(x^{i}\right)+\left(\lambda^{i}\right)^{\top}\left(x^{i}-y\right)+\frac{\rho}{2}\left\|x^{i}-y\right\|^{2}\right\}
$$

Your task is to write out the ADMM update formula for the above problem. Specifically, express $x_{k+1}^{i}, y_{k+1}$, and $\lambda_{k+1}^{i}$ as functions of $x_{k}^{i}, y_{k}, \lambda_{k}^{i}, a_{i}, b_{i}, \mu$, and $\rho$. (Hint: use the shrinkage operator for the update of $y_{k+1}$.)

## Solution:

1. ADMM is the alternating direction method of multipliers. It iterates as

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{k+1} & =\underset{x}{\arg \min } L_{\rho}\left(x, y_{k}, \lambda_{k}\right) \\
y_{k+1} & =\underset{y}{\arg \min } L_{\rho}\left(x_{k+1}, y, \lambda_{k}\right) \\
\lambda_{k+1} & =\lambda_{k}+\rho\left(A x_{k+1}+B y_{k+1}-c\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L_{\rho}$ is the augmented Lagrangian defined as

$$
L_{\rho}(x, y, \lambda)=f(x)+g(y)+\lambda^{\top}(A x+B y-c)+\frac{\rho}{2}\|A x+B y-c\|^{2} .
$$

2. By definition, ADMM iterates as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{k+1}^{i}=\underset{x^{i}}{\arg \min }\left\{f_{i}\left(x^{i}\right)+\left(\lambda_{k}^{i}\right)^{\top}\left(x^{i}-y_{k}\right)+\frac{\rho}{2}\left\|x^{i}-y_{k}\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
& y_{k+1}=\underset{y}{\arg \min }\left\{\mu\|y\|_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(-\left(\lambda_{k}^{i}\right)^{\top} y+\frac{\rho}{2}\left\|x^{i}-y\right\|^{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \lambda_{k+1}^{i}=\lambda_{k}^{i}+\rho\left(x_{k+1}^{i}-y_{k+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f_{i}\left(x^{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{i}^{\top} x^{i}-b_{i}\right)^{2}$, we eventually have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{k+1}^{i} & =\left(a_{i} a_{i}^{\top}+\rho I\right)^{-1}\left(a_{i} b_{i}+\rho y_{k}-\lambda_{k}^{i}\right) \\
y_{k+1} & =S_{\mu /(\rho n)}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{k+1}^{i}+\lambda_{k}^{i} / \rho\right)\right) \\
\lambda_{k+1}^{i} & =\lambda_{k}^{i}+\rho\left(x_{k+1}^{i}-y_{k+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S_{\mu /(\rho n)}$ is the shrinkage operator that shrinks every value between $-\mu /(\rho n)$ and $\mu /(\rho n)$ to 0 .
[space for Problem 4.]

