

# SOLUTIONS HW 6

---

## 1 Problem 1

For any  $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ , we have:

$$g(y, z) \leq \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} g(y, z).$$

Next, we minimize both sides over  $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ , and the inequality still holds

$$\min_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} g(y, z) \leq \min_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} g(y, z).$$

The left side of the above inequality is a function of  $y$ , and the right side is a constant upper bound for the left side over all  $y$ . Therefore, the maximum of the left side over  $y$  should still be upper bounded by the constant on the right side. Hence we have

$$\max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \min_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} g(y, z) \leq \min_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} g(y, z).$$

## 2 Problem 2

The original problem is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize } c^\top x \\ & \text{subject to } Ax - b \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $c = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 \\ 3 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $b = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 5 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$ . To find the dual, for  $\mu = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \mu_3 \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$ , we calculate

$D(\mu)$  as:

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mu) &= \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} c^\top x + \mu^\top (Ax - b) \\ &= \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} (c^\top + \mu^\top A)x - \mu^\top b \\ &= \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } c^\top + \mu^\top A \neq 0 \\ -\mu^\top b & \text{if } c^\top + \mu^\top A = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $c^\top + \mu^\top A = 0$  is equivalent to  $A^\top \mu = -c$ . Therefore, the dual problem is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize } -\mu^\top b \\ & \text{subject to } A^\top \mu = -c, \mu \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Specifically, the dual program is

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize } \mu_1 - 5\mu_2 - 8\mu_3 \\ & \text{subject to } \begin{aligned} -\mu_1 + 3\mu_2 - \mu_3 &= -1, \\ -2\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 &= -1, \\ \mu_1 \geq 0, \mu_2 \geq 0, \mu_3 &\geq 0 \end{aligned} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

One way to verify strong duality is to use the Slater's condition. For linear programming, finding a strictly feasible point for the primal problem (e.g.  $x = [1 \ 1]^\top$  for the above problem) does guarantee the strong duality to hold.

### 3 Problem 3

The Lagrangian of the problem is  $L(x, \mu) = x^\top Qx + \mu^\top (Ax - b)$ . Thus the Lagrangian dual function is:

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mu) &= \min_x L(x, \mu) \\ &= \min_x x^\top Qx + \mu^\top (Ax - b) \\ &= \min_x x^\top Qx + \mu^\top Ax - \mu^\top b. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $Q$  is positive definite, we can just take the derivative of  $L(x, \mu)$  with respect to  $x$  and set it equal to 0. Then we obtain  $x = -\frac{1}{2}Q^{-1}A^\top\mu$ , which leads to:

$$D(\mu) = -\frac{1}{4}\mu^\top AQ^{-1}A^\top\mu - \mu^\top b.$$

Therefore, the dual problem is:

$$\text{maximize } -\frac{1}{4}\mu^\top AQ^{-1}A^\top\mu - \mu^\top b$$

### 4 Problem 4

Let  $\bar{x}$  be a limit point of  $\{x^{(k)}\}$  given by

$$\bar{x} = \min_{k \rightarrow \infty, k \in \mathcal{K}} x^{(k)}.$$

Assuming that  $\min_{h(x)=0} f(x) = f^*$  exists, then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} f^* &= \min_{h(x)=0} f(x) \\ &= \min_{h(x)=0} f(x) + \lambda^\top h(x) + c_k \|h(x)\|^2 \\ &\geq \min f(x) + \lambda^\top h(x) + c_k \|h(x)\|^2 \\ &= f(x^{(k)}) + \lambda^\top h(x^{(k)}) + c_k \|h(x^{(k)})\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} c_k \|h(x^{(k)})\|^2 + \lambda^\top h(x^{(k)}) &\leq f^* - f(x^{(k)}) \\ \Rightarrow c_k \|h(x^{(k)})\|^2 - \|\lambda\| \|h(x^{(k)})\| &\leq f^* - f(x^{(k)}) \\ \Rightarrow -\|\lambda\| \|h(x^{(k)})\| &\leq f^* - f(x^{(k)}), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where the second step applies Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. By continuity of  $f$ , we have  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(x^{(k)}) = f(\bar{x})$ . Thus as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $f^* - f(x^{(k)})$  goes to  $f^* - f(\bar{x})$  which is finite. Since  $c_k \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty, k \in \mathcal{K}} \|h(x^{(k)})\| = 0.$$

By continuity of  $\|h(x)\|$ , we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty, k \in \mathcal{K}} \|h(x^{(k)})\| = \|h(\bar{x})\| = 0.$$

Taking limit as  $k \rightarrow \infty, k \in \mathcal{K}$  in (2), we get

$$f^* - f(\bar{x}) \geq 0.$$

But  $\bar{x}$  satisfies  $h(\bar{x}) = 0$  and so  $f(\bar{x}) \geq f^*$ . Hence, every limit point is a global minimum.

## 5 Problem 5

Yes, the given constrained optimization problem is convex. Suppose  $t = [x \ y \ z]^T$  and  $c = [1 \ 1 \ 0]^T$ , then the given optimization problem is equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize } c^T t \\ & \text{subject to } g_1 \leq 0, \\ & \qquad \qquad g_2 = 0, \\ & \qquad \qquad g_3 \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= c_1^T t, \quad c_1 = [0 \ 0 \ -1]^T, \\ g_2 &= c_2^T t - 10, \quad c_2 = [1 \ 0 \ 1]^T, \\ g_3 &= t^T Q t + c_3^T t, \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0, \quad c_3 = [-1 \ -1 \ 0]^T \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that the cost function  $c^T t$  is linear, which is convex. In addition, the inequality constraints are in the form of  $g_i \leq 0$  with convex  $g_i$  for  $i = 1$  and  $i = 3$ . The equality constraint takes the form of  $g_2 = 0$  where  $g_2$  is an affine function. Hence the given constrained optimization problem is convex.